



Proof General

A Generic Interface for Proof Development

David Aspinall

LFCS, University of Edinburgh

<http://www.dcs.ed.ac.uk/home/proofgen>

- Introduction
- Architecture
- User Features
- Implementation
- Appraisal
- Future
- Credits
- The End

Introduction

Background
Why Proof General?

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Background

- Introduction
- Architecture
- User Features
- Implementation
- Appraisal
- Future
- Credits
- The End

- Terminology: *machine proof*
 - formal machine representation of mathematical/logical proof
- Machine proofs useful in
 - specification, development, verification of software and hardware
 - teaching mathematical proof and formal logic
 - mathematical research
- Terminology: *proof assistant* (or *prover*)
 - a computerized helper for developing machine proofs
- Terminology: *proof script*
 - input to proof assistant which constructs a machine proof
 - stored in a file

Why Proof General?

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Many proof assistants still have a primitive interface
 - It's easy to program!
 - Logicians unafraid of cryptic codes (?)
- But a modern interface has advantages:
 - Saves time for experts, providing short-cuts
 - Helpful to novices, providing hints
 - Opens the way to higher-level interactions
- A *generic* interface is attractive
 - Can hook-up to many proof assistants
 - Use the same mechanism for interaction

Proof General aims to be a generic interface, useful both to novices and to experts.

Architecture

Generic aspects of proof assistants

Choose Emacs

System architecture

Introduction

Architecture

User Features

Implementation

Appraisal

Future

Credits

The End

Generic aspects of proof assistants

- Introduction
- Architecture
- User Features
- Implementation
- Appraisal
- Future
- Credits
- The End

- Proof scripts have a common structure:
 - declarations and definitions, and
 - *goal* ... *save* sequences
- Interaction has a common structure:
 - User issues declaration or definition
 - User enters *goal-directed proof* dialogue
 - ★ user gives proof step; system responds with subgoal list
 - ★ repeat
- Primitive interfaces have common structure:
 - Command-line interface: *proof assistant shell*

How can we build a system to exploit these common structures?

Choose Emacs

- The world's best text editor also provides a user-interface toolkit!
- Choosing emacs has pros
 - portability: runs on NT, Unix, Linux, . . .
 - extensive libraries
 - user familiarity, easy user-customization
 - interpreted scripting language for development: Emacs Lisp
- . . . and cons
 - hard to learn and over complicated
 - the original bloatware
 - interoperability limited (live in Emacs!)
 - single-threaded

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

User Features

Simplified communication

Script management

Proof by Pointing

User friendliness

Other Emacs features

Introduction

Architecture

User Features

Implementation

Appraisal

Future

Credits

The End

Simplified communication

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Hide irrelevant information
 - shell hidden
 - but still available for emergencies
- Buffer display model: two-of-three window panes
 - *script*
 - *goals* or *response*
- Script buffer centred around “latest” proof command
- Goals buffer centred around working subgoal
- Response buffer displays relevant messages
 - urgent messages
 - result of non-proof step (search results, command feedback)
- Customizable to use three buffers and multiple windows

Script management

- Synchronizes editor with proof assistant
- Provides visual feedback

blue background — processed text

pink background — text being processed

- Highlighted text is *locked* to prevent accidental editing
- Connects with prover's history mechanism, for *retraction*
 - undo individual steps within a proof
 - block-structure outside proof
- Connects with prover's file handling
 - extend synchronization to multiple files
 - dependencies communicated or deduced automatically
- Avoids using cut-and-paste or “load file” commands

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Proof by Pointing

- Click on subterm of goal
 - generates proof command to simplify/solve goal
 - inserts command into proof
 - executes it
- Support from proof assistant required!
 - annotations to markup term-structure
 - communication of position in AST
 - proof command generation
- Many possibilities
 - context-sensitive menus
 - other gestures (e.g. drag term to rearrange equation)
 - not yet implemented

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

User friendliness

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Toolbar
 - buttons to start proof, process step, undo step, finish proof, . . .
- Menus
 - change display modes, start/stop proof assistant, . . .
 - **all** commands available here
- Easy preference setting
- Online documentation
 - variety of formats
 - links to proof assistant documentation
- . . .and of course, magical short-cut key sequences like
C-c C-RET proof-goto-point

Other Emacs features

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Syntax highlighting
 - decoration of proof scripts and prover output
- Symbol fonts
 - glyphs for logical symbols, greek letters, etc

$\phi \longrightarrow \psi$ instead of `phi --> psi`
- Tags
 - search for definitions and proofs amongst many files
- Item menu
 - navigate to definitions and proofs in current window
- Remote proof assistant
 - run prover on different machine using `rsh` or `ssh`

Implementation

Implementation notes
Instantiation mechanism
Example instantiation
Development model

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Implementation notes

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Emacs Lisp
 - about 7000 loc for generic part
 - 30 – 500 loc for specific part for each assistant
- Support in proof assistant (optional)
 - output markup for robustness
 - file loading messages
 - proof by pointing machinery
- Emacs Lisp issues
 - fairly primitive, but has some CL macros and CLOS emulation
 - use *define-derived-mode* for inheritance
 - slow, but built-ins and byte-code compilation improve matters
 - *docstrings* are wonderful

Instantiation mechanism

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- 80 configuration settings, split up into:
 - Regexps to recognize proof script
 - Regexps to recognize prover messages
 - Commands to control prover
 - Hooks to configure behaviour
- Some important settings:

<code>proof-prog-name</code>	command to start prover
<code>proof-shell-annotated-prompt-regexp</code>	matches end of prover output
<code>proof-goal-command-regexp</code>	matches goal command in script
<code>proof-shell-start-goals-regexp</code>	matches start of goals output
<code>proof-shell-eager-annotation-start</code>	matches important messages
- One line to add autoloads, name, customizations for new prover
- Use `define-derived-mode` for new script, goals, response, shell
- With new “easy configure” mechanism, no Emacs necessary!

Example instantiation

```
(require 'proof-easy-config) ; easy configure mechanism
(proof-easy-config
 'demoisa "Isabelle Demo"
 proof-prog-name            "isabelle"
 proof-terminal-char        ?\;
 proof-comment-start        "(*"
 proof-comment-end          "*)"
 proof-goal-command-regex  "^Goal"
 proof-save-command-regex  "^qed"
 proof-goal-with-hole-regex "qed_goal \"\\(\\(.*\\)\\)\""
 proof-save-with-hole-regex "qed \"\\(\\(.*\\)\\)\""
 proof-non-undoables-regex "undo\\|back"
 proof-goal-command        "Goal \"%s\";"
 proof-save-command        "qed \"%s\";"
 proof-kill-goal-command   "Goal \"PROP no_goal_set\";"
 proof-showproof-command   "pr()"
 proof-undo-n-times-cmd     "pg_repeat undo %s;"
 proof-auto-multiple-files  t
 proof-shell-cd-cmd         "cd \"%s\""
 proof-shell-prompt-pattern "[ML-=>]+>? "
 proof-shell-interrupt-regex "Interrupt"
 proof-shell-start-goals-regex "Level [0-9]"
 proof-shell-end-goals-regex  "val it"
 proof-shell-quit-cmd        "quit();"
 proof-assistant-home-page   "http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/Research/HVG/isabelle.html"
 proof-shell-annotated-prompt-regex "^\\(val it = () : unit\\n\\)?ML>? "
 proof-shell-error-regex    "\\*\\*\\*\\*\\*\\*\\.Error:\\|^uncaught exception \\|^Exception- "
 proof-shell-init-cmd        "fun pg_repeat f 0 = () | pg_repeat f n = (f(); pg_repeat f (n-1));"
 proof-shell-proof-completed-regex "\\(\\(\\.\\|\\n\\)*No subgoals!\\n\\)"
 proof-shell-eager-annotation-start "^\\[opening \\|^###\\|^Reading\""
 (provide 'demoisa)
```

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Development model

- Incremental genericity
 - generalize as necessary
 - occasionally extend and redesign core
- Developer/maintainer in each camp
 - Emacs and prover support for each prover
 - adds specific features, generalizes if useful elsewhere
 - serves as primary user/tester
- CVS server, access to whole repository for all
- Frequent pre-release versions, quick response to bugs
- Extreme techniques . . . ?

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Appraisal

Benefits of Proof General

Usage

Comparison

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Benefits of Proof General

- A nice front-end for doing real work
- By construction, suggests a protocol for proof assistants

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

Usage

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Currently supported proof assistants, target users:

	User community	Other interfaces?
LEGO	30	no
Coq	80	yes
Isabelle	150	yes
Isabelle/Isar	30	no
Plastic	5	no

- Several other possible systems (HOL variants, Agda, . . .)
- Use in teaching
 - 1999 Types Summer School: 50 students learning LEGO, Coq, and Isabelle
 - CAFR MSc and PhD course at Edinburgh
- Version 3.0 announced 1st December
 - 150 visits in 2 days, 60 downloads

Comparison

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- There's more sophistication elsewhere:
 - Graphical representations
 - ★ proof-trees
 - ★ direct manipulation
 - Structure editing
 - Integrated environments
- However, Proof General has complementary advantages:
 - familiarity, uniformity
 - portability, adaptability
 - easy instantiation
 - easy modification

Proof General achieves a lightweight, useful interface at little cost

Future

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- Evolutionary
 - More features — completion, favourites, theory browser
 - More proof assistants
- Revolutionary
 - Factor out script management, use for programming languages
 - Use standard markup mechanisms (MathML, XML, ATerms)
 - Focus on protocols, move away from Emacs
 - Middleware layer connects proof engine to front-end (CORBA?)
- Imaginary
 - Prover-independent syntax mechanisms
 - Logic and theory mappings, standard taxonomies
 - Distributed proof development, theorem proving agents

Credits

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End

- People
 - Thomas Kleymann
 - Yves Bertot and Project CROAP
 - Dilip Sequeira
 - Healfdene Goguen
 - Markus Wenzel
 - others . . .
- Funding
 - LFCS
 - EPSRC Grant for LEGO
 - EC BRA Types

The End

Introduction
Architecture
User Features
Implementation
Appraisal
Future
Credits
The End



Version 1.9 of 1999/12/14 20:37:57, processed December 14, 1999